



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

COPY MAILED

MAY 11 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

MARY ELISA LANE
16520 MONTECREST LANE
DARNESTOWN MD 20878

In re Application of
Susan H. Woods et al.
Application No. 10/035,215
Filed: January 4, 2002
Attorney Docket Number: WWT-02-001US
Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR THE
MICRO-AND MACROPROPAGATION OF
REED GRASSES

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER
37 C.F.R. §1.78(A)(3)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6), filed on September 14, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed application number 60/259,719, filed on January 4, 2001, set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **Granted**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed provisional application is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6).

The instant nonprovisional application was pending at the time of filing of the reference to the prior-filed provisional application as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(iii). Additionally, the instant nonprovisional application was filed within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/259,719, which was filed on January 4, 2001, for which priority is claimed.

The petition complies with the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) in that (1) a reference to the above-noted, prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as provided by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(iii); (2) the surcharge fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(t) has been submitted; and (3) the petition contains a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to the above-noted, prior-filed provisional application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), the petition is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed provisional application, accompanies this decision on petition. Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Senior Attorney Paul Shanowski at (571) 272-3225.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 1661 for appropriate action on the amendment submitted concurrently with this petition, including consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR §§1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) for the benefit of the prior-filed application.



Frances Hicks
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Encl. Corrected filing receipt